

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/978,432	10/15/2001	Nimer Yaseen	5022.8-1	5023		
23559	7590 12/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, P.C.			BILGRAMI,	BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H		
	'UAL PROPERTY DOC AVENUE, SUITE 4000	KET CLERK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	X 75202-2790		2143			

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/978,432	YASEEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Asghar Bilgrami	2143				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	lress			
THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or exten	IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply e, the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO date of this communication, even if timely the	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this cor NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>10/15</u>	<u>/2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	•				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)	(s) is/are withdrawallowed. lected. bbjected to.						
Application Papers							
Applicant may not reques Replacement drawing sh	04 February 2002 is/are at that any objection to the cet(s) including the correction	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S on is required if the drawing(s) is aminer. Note the attached Offi	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the ce application from	None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece	ation No ived in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)			(0.7.0.11.0.)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/04			al Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/978,432

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on October 15, 2001 was filed after the mailing date of the application on March 4, 2002. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim1-3, 5-10 & 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dharanikota et al (U.S. Pub No. 2002/0107908).
- 4. As per claims 1 & 9 Dharanikota disclosed a method of transmitting packetswitched data in a network having a plurality of nodes therein, the method comprising the
 steps of: defining an ingress rate restriction for each of at least two nodes of the plurality
 of nodes, the ingress rate restriction limiting the amount of data that may be transmitted
 from the respective node on at least one channel of the network; defining an egress rate
 restriction for each of the at least two nodes of the plurality of nodes, the egress rate
 restriction limiting the amount of data that may be transmitted to the respective node on
 the at least one channel of the network (page.1, paragraph.12); monitoring the amount of
 data transmitted from and to a first node (page. 6, paragraph 54); and disallowing at least

Art Unit: 2143

a portion of one of an attempted data transfer from and to the first node when one of the respective ingress rate restriction and egress rate restriction would be violated by the attempted data transfer (page. 6, paragraph 59).

- 5. As per claims 2 & 10 Dharanikota disclosed the network according to claim 1, wherein the network is the Internet (page.3, paragraph.27).
- 6. As per claims 5 & 13 Dharanikota disclosed the network according to claim 1, wherein the at least one egress rate restriction includes a egress committed rate defining a minimum transfer rate reserved in the network for transfers to the respective node and an egress peak rate defining a maximum transfer rate allowable in the network for transfers to the respective node, and the at least one ingress rate restriction includes an ingress committed rate defining a minimum transfer rate reserved in the network for transfers from the respective node and an ingress peak rate defining a maximum transfer rate allowable in the network for transfers from the respective node (page.2, paragraph.12, page.3, paragraphs.28 & 35).
- 7. As per claims 6 & 14 Dharanikota disclosed the network according to claim 1, wherein the at least one communication channel is a point-to-point communication channel (page.2, paragraphs.12 & 13).
- 8. As per claims 7 & 15 Dharanikota disclosed the network according to claim 1, wherein the at least one communication charnel is a point- to-multipoint communication channel (page.2, paragraph.12).

. Application/Control Number: 09/978,432

Art Unit: 2143

9. As per claim 8 Dharanikota disclosed the network according to claim 1, wherein an allowed transmission from the first node to the second node includes either the requested transmission or a portion thereof the management node monitoring transmission from the first node to the second node (page.2, paragraphs.12 & 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 3, 4, 11 & 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dharanikota et al (U.S. Pub No. 2002/0107908) and Kodialam et al (U.S. Pub No. 2002/0018264A1).
- 12. As per claims 3, 4, 11 & 12 McDyson disclosed the network according to claim 1 (page.3, paragraph 27). However McDyson did not explicitly describe, wherein the first node and the second node are optical transport network nodes and at least one communication channel is a fiber optic link.

Kodialam disclosed wherein the first node and the second node are optical transport network nodes and at least one communication channel is a fiber optic link (page.2, paragraph. 22).

It would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art to include fiber optic networks described by Kodialam in the category of networks mentioned by Dharanikota

Art Unit: 2143

since fiber optic networks namely ATM, support services involving voice, video and data.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami Examiner

rimany Exa

Art Unit 2143

AB